## A. Professional Profile

David Zigmond initially trained in Medicine in the 1960s. From this he developed a lifetime interest in the often dislocated but creative tension between art and science in understanding ourselves, one another and any effort to be 'helpful'. His explorations have led to a crystallisation of humanistic and person-centred approaches to understanding (medically, 'diagnosis'), and influence (medically, 'treatment').

In the decades following his qualification he trained in General Medical Practice,
Psychiatry and Psychotherapy (both psychoanalytic and humanistic) – an amalgam
initially not uncommon, but later almost impossible, so now extinct. His
professional involvement continued in all of these activities throughout his career –
both as a frontline practitioner in the National Health Service and in postgraduate
education of psychotherapists, doctors and other healthcare professionals.

His numerous articles, since the 1970s, investigate often-overlooked social and psychological aspects of care. His perspectives combine, particularly, the pragmatically humanistic with the accessibly philosophical. The resulting appeal, for disciplined and imaginative eclecticism, is more compatible with traditions of liberal education than with the current march towards regulation and hegemonised training.

He pioneered the distinction between *dis-ease* and *disease*, and the use of the terms *psychoecology*, *pastoral healthcare*, *headspace*, *heartspace* and *technototalitarianism*: the developed themes are evident throughout his many writings.

Growing trends to the industrialisation of healthcare have increasingly (if unwittingly) displaced quality and continuity of personal contact. Later articles and letters sought to raise awareness and debate about the seriousness and complexity of this loss. This requires thoughtful choreography between art and science: his writing reflects this with language composed to be both poetic and precise.